Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1287 HB	Title: Involuntary treatment	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts	
----------------------	------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

X

No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact	Omeara Harrington	Phone: 360-786-7136	Date: 01/22/2015
Agency Preparation:	Susan Arb	Phone: 509-453-8135	Date: 01/27/2015
Agency Approval:	Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/27/2015
OFM Review:	Cheri Keller	Phone: 360-902-0563	Date: 01/27/2015

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Summary:

This bill would allow courts to order less restrictive mental health treatment for one year where the previous treatment was for intensive inpatient treatment in a state hospital.

Sections with potential court impact:

Section 1 would amend RCW 71.05.320 to allow the court to order less restrictive mental health treatment for one year where the previous treatment was for intensive inpatient treatment in a state hospital. Current law allows the court to order less restrictive mental health treatment for either 90 or 180 days only.

Section 2 would amend RCW 71.05.340 to require the court to consider particular criteria and make particular findings when deciding whether to modify the terms of an order which allowed treatment to be at a less restrictive alternative to treatment in a state hospital.

Anticipated Fiscal Impact:

Based upon information provided, it is assumed that there would be minimal financial impact to the superior courts statewide from the amendments/provisions in this bill.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact